



Republic of the Philippines

3rd NARBO THEMATIC WORKSHOP

**WATER RIGHTS AND WATER
ALLOCATION**

**Session - 3 Presentation
REVIEW OF THE FORMER
WORKSHOP (2)**

A presentation by:

**ELENITO M. BAGALIHOG
Chief, Water Rights Division
National Water Resources Board
Bangkok, Thailand
November 27 – December 1, 2006**



Issue No. 1

Lack of Coordination and Conflict in the management of water resources among different government and non-government organization.

Proposal 1. Review mandates, roles and responsibilities, plans and programs of water related agencies.

Procedures/Processes for the proposal

- a) Conduct inventory of all laws, policies, guidelines and rules and regulations relating to water resources management and development;
- b) Identify conflicting and interlocking laws, policies, programs, plans, project of each agencies;



- c) Consolidate findings by focusing on which areas there are gaps, conflicts etc. for possible integration, collaboration and harmonization;
- d) Develop and promote a legislative agenda to amend existing laws which are in conflict with each other; and
- e) Formulate new guidelines, policies, rules and regulations based on the amended, integrated and harmonized laws, policies and rules and regulations.



Evaluation (1) from the viewpoint of effectiveness

- 1) Well-defined roles and responsibilities of each agency and ensure a collaborative and coordinated implementation of plans, programs activities among water-related agencies.

Evaluation (2) from the viewpoint of feasibility

- 2) The proposal is feasible with the full support of the national government.

Evaluation (3) from the viewpoint of sustainability

- 3) Once the proposal is supported by the national government, sustainability of the proposal can easily be achieved.



Implementation Agenda

Items in procedures/ processes	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	a				
	b				
	c				
		d			
		e			

Difficulty in Implementation of the proposal :

Not difficult to implement if supported by other water-related agencies and stakeholders

Other Related Organizations :

NCIP, LGU's, NGA's, NGO's.



Issue 1

Lack of coordination and conflict in the management of water resources among different government and non-government organization.

Proposal 2 Fast track the adoption and institutionalization of IWRM in water related agencies and institution.

Procedures/Processes for the proposal

- a) Advocate for issuance of enabling policy adopting IWRM as a national strategy;
- b) Formulate a policy framework addressing strategic IWRM-related issues and ensuring coherence with national development goals and priorities;



- c) Undertake targeted policy-related research studies and popularize findings/results;
- d) Develop orientation packages to enable key stakeholders to enhance awareness of IWRM;
- e) Strengthen national and local capacities on IWRM for key stakeholders and technical support staff;and
- f) Create an expert advisory group to provide technical guidance and assistance in the operationalization and institutionalization of IWRM in water-related agencies.



Evaluation (1) from the viewpoint of effectiveness

- 1) This will create a favorable and enabling environment among stakeholders and water-related agencies in the regulation and allocation of water resources within the area.

Evaluation (2) from the viewpoint of feasibility

- 2) Maybe feasible with the full support of the national government.

Evaluation (3) from the viewpoint of sustainability

- 3) Sustainability of the proposal is achievable with the support of the government.



Implementation Agenda

Items in procedures/ processes	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	a				
	b				
		c			
		d			
			e	e	
				f	

Difficulty in Implementation of the proposal :

Support of National government and LGUS is necessary to implement the proposal.

Other Related Organizations :

NGA's, LGU's, NGO'S



Issue No. 1

Lack of Coordination and conflict in the management of water resources among different government and non-government organization.

Proposal 3 Create enabling policy environment for IWRM adoption and operationalization in LGU's.

Procedures/Processes for the proposal

- a) Establish a collaborative mechanisms with various LGU leagues and relevant policy advisory bodies e.g. PWP;
- b) Develop and promote a legislative agenda supportive of IWRM adoption and operationalization in LGU's;



- c) Conduct forums and capacity-building on transforming the agenda into enabling orders, resolutions, legislative issuances, plans and programs.
- d) Create champions who will mobilize support for IWRM adoption and operationalization on LGU's.
- e) Ensure local development plans, incorporate IWRM-related strategies and programs.



Evaluation (1) from the viewpoint of effectiveness

- 1) This will promote participatory water governance and supportive enabling environment for IWRM between LGUs and NWRB.

Evaluation (2) from the viewpoint of feasibility

- 2) Feasible with the support of the LGUs and National Government.

Evaluation (3) from the viewpoint of sustainability

- 3) Can be sustained with the full support of the LGUs.



Implementation Agenda

Items in procedures/ processes	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	a	a			
		b			
		c			
			d		
				e	

Difficulty in Implementation of the proposal :

Difficult to implement if not supported by legislature and other water-related agencies.

Other Related Organizations :

Congress, LGU's League, PWP, Academe



Issue 2

Difficulty in implementing integrated water resources management and development plans

Proposal 1. Establish mechanisms to synchronize plans, programs and activities in the management of water resources within the basin.

Procedures/Processes for the proposal

- a) Review existing plans, programs and activities of different agencies and organizations involved in water resources management within the basin;
- b) Clarify roles and responsibilities of each stakeholders;



- c) Conduct meetings and consultations with all the stakeholders in the basin;
- d) Enter into a MOA with LGUs, NGAs to synchronize plans and programs;and
- e) Formulate necessary policies, guidelines and regulations to implement mechanisms adopted.



Evaluation (1) from the viewpoint of effectiveness

- 1) This will ensure holistic approach in the management of water resources within the basin.

Evaluation (2) from the viewpoint of feasibility

- 2) The proposal is feasible technically and administratively. Additional budget is necessary.

Evaluation (3) from the viewpoint of sustainability

- 3) The proposal is quite sustainable.



Implementation Agenda

Items in procedures/ processes	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	a				
		b			
		c			
			d		
			e		

Difficulty in Implementation of the proposal :

Easy to implement if supported by LGU's, NGA's

Other Related Organizations :

LGU's, NGA's, NGO's



Issue 2

Difficulty in implementing integrated water resources management and development plans

Proposal 2. Prepare water resource plan for priority river basin.

Procedures/Processes for the proposal

- a) Conduct consultation with stakeholders in the basin;
- b) Identification of the issues and concerns within the basin to come-up with an integrated policies and plans;



- c) Identify major stakeholders who will prepare the water resources plan;and
- d) Seek funding support from the government and other financial institutions.



Evaluation (1) from the viewpoint of effectiveness

- 1) Will ensure the meaningful participation of stakeholders in the formulation of the plan and promote cooperation and coordination in the implementation and efficient management of water resources in the basin level.

Evaluation (2) from the viewpoint of feasibility

- 2) With the full support of the national government and major stakeholders the proposal will be feasible.

Evaluation (3) from the viewpoint of sustainability

- 3) Financial support is one important factor to make the proposal sustainable.



Implementation Agenda

Items in procedures/ processes	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	a				
	b	b			
	c	c			
		d			

Difficulty in Implementation of the proposal :

Difficult to implement, financial support is necessary in the preparation of the plan.

Other Related Organizations:

LGU's, NGA's, NGO's



Issue 2

Difficulty in implementing integrated water resources management and development plans

Proposal 3. Enhance stakeholder's awareness and broad-based knowledge of water resources management and development.

Procedures/Processes for the proposal

- a) Organize a group of water resource management expert to develop action agenda on how to manage water resources effectively;
- b) Benchmarking on existing related researches and studies (local, regional and international);



- c) Develop conceptual models/tools on how to enhance stakeholders' knowledge and capacities in the management and development of water resources;
- d) Facilitate exchanges of lessons learned and good practices;
- e) Conduct regular meetings, workshops, trainings and seminars with concerned agencies and stakeholders; and
- f) Provide technical assistance and institutional support to enhance stakeholders' capabilities to efficiently manage water resources.



Evaluation (1) from the viewpoint of effectiveness

- 1) The proposal will enhance stakeholders and concerned agencies' capacities to manage water resources effectively and ensure sustainable water supply.

Evaluation (2) from the viewpoint of feasibility

- 2) Technically and administratively, the proposal is feasible but additional financial support is needed to achieve it.

Evaluation (3) from the viewpoint of sustainability

- 3) It can be sustained with the full support of stakeholders and concerned agencies including national government.



Implementation Agenda

Items in procedures/ processes	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	a				
	b				
		c			
		d			
			e	e	
				f	

Difficulty in implementation of the proposal :

Not difficult to implement with the financial support of NG, water related financial institutions, international organizations, etc.

Other Related Organizations :

NGA's, NGO, JICA, AUSAID, UNEP, PWP, etc.



Issue 3

Difficulty in implementation of Water Rights System

Proposal 1. Education and information campaign need to be institutionalized to keep compliance of laws, rules and regulations and policies.

Procedures/Processes for the proposal

- a) Posting of policies and laws related to Water Rights System.
- b) Conduct seminars, workshop and education campaigns to enhance awareness of stakeholders on Water Rights System.
- c) Distribute primers (local dialect), brochures, CDs and other materials containing information about processing of water permit application.



Evaluation (1) from the viewpoint of effectiveness

- 1) IEC will enhance awareness of the stakeholders of the laws and policies relating to water rights and will ensure effective management and efficient use of water resources.

Evaluation (2) from the viewpoint of feasibility

- 2) The proposal is feasible considering that the financial cost is minimal and the NWRB staff are technically capable of conducting information and education campaign.

Evaluation (3) from the viewpoint of sustainability

- 3) The proposal can be sustained even with a limited budget of the NWRB.



Implementation Agenda

Items in procedures/ processes	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	a				
	b	b			
	c	c			

Difficulty in Implementation of the proposal :

Easy to implement with the help of stakeholder.

Other Related Organizations :

LGU's



Issue 3

Difficulty in implementation of Water Rights System

Proposal 2. Strict implementation of the laws, rules and regulations and policies issued by the Board.

Procedures/Processes for the proposal

- a) Issuance of Cease and Desist Orders on illegal appropriators and violators;
- b) Imposition of fines and penalties;
- c) Cancellation and/or revocation of permits;and
- d) Filing of criminal cases against violators in extreme cases.



Evaluation (1) from the viewpoint of effectiveness

- 1) Strict implementation of the laws and rules and regulations will encourage water users to obey the laws related to water.

Evaluation (2) from the viewpoint of feasibility

- 2) The proposal is feasible specially with the support of the stakeholders, LGU's and other non-governmental organizations.

Evaluation (3) from the viewpoint of sustainability

- 3) It can be sustained financially and with additional manpower.



Implementation Agenda

Items in procedures/ processes	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	a				
	b				
	c				
	d				

Difficulty in Implementation of the proposal :

Easy to implement if supported by LGU's and other authorities like police, barangay officials, etc.

Other Related Organizations :

LGU's, NGA's, WD's, Police, Barangay authorities



Issue 3

Difficulty in implementation of Water Rights System

Proposal 3. Establish financial mechanism.

Procedures/Processes for the proposal

- a) Mapping of available funds and resources;
- b) Develop adoptive, responsive and sound tariff-setting;
- c) Formulate water resources investment plan; and
- d) Develop funding mechanism, e.g., revolving fund, raw water pricing, etc.



Evaluation (1) from the viewpoint of effectiveness

- 1) Additional funds will strengthen the organizational structure of the NWRB and will improve enforcement of water rights system and allocation.

Evaluation (2) from the viewpoint of feasibility

- 2) It is feasible if fully implemented.

Evaluation (3) from the viewpoint of sustainability

- 3) It can be sustained if fully implemented.



Implementation Agenda

Items in Procedures/ processes	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	a				
	b	b			
	c	c			
	d	d			

Difficulty in Implementation of the proposal :

Difficult to implement without the support of NGA's, LGU's, and stakeholders

Other Related Organizations :

NGA's, LGU's, WD's, Private water utilities, other stakeholders



Issue 3

Difficulty in implementation of Water Rights System

Proposal 4. Establishment of RBO's/RBC's.

Procedures/ Processes for the proposal

- a) Prepare a comprehensive strategic plan to organize and create RBO'S/RBC's;
- b) Review existing policies, plans and activities of different water-related agencies.



- c) Synchronize plans, programs and activities of agencies and organizations involved in the management of water resources within the region;
- d) Enter into MOA with LGUs and NGAs;
- e) Decentralize some of NWRB's functions, roles and responsibilities to RBO's/RBC'S;
- f) Develop legal framework for the adoption and establishment of RBO's/RBC's.



Evaluation (1) from the viewpoint of effectiveness

- 1) Promote meaningful multi-sectoral participation in the formulation of policies, plans and programs within the basin.

Evaluation (2) from the viewpoint of feasibility

- 2) Full support of the national government, LGU's, NGA's and other major stakeholders is needed to make the proposal feasible.

Evaluation (3) from the viewpoint of sustainability

- 3) Sustainability of the proposal is likewise at stake without the financial and administrative support of the said agencies.



Implementation Agenda

Items in Procedures/ processes	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	a	a			
	b	b			
	c	c			
		d			
		e			
		f			

Difficulty in Implementation of the proposal :

Difficult to implement without the full support of the national government, LGU's, and NGA's

Other Related Organizations :

NG, NGA's, LGU's, NGO's and other stakeholders



THANK YOU !

