

The 3rd thematic workshop on Waster
Allocation and Water Right, November
27 – December 1, 2006

Session 3

Review of the former workshop (2)

Prepared by: Kingkham MANIVONG

- **Issue 1:** Weak co-ordination between line agencies within the sector and with those of other sectors and local authorities.
- **Proposal:** Should have capacity building and strengthening institutional framework; developing human resources; raising awareness and perusing participatory approach.

Processes for proposal

- Capacity building and institutional strengthening (authority raising) for the national apex body on water resources (at the time being is WRCC, which is member from departmental level), and its coordinating line agencies and local authorities are needed.
- Integrated water resources management at the basin level should be introduced. In this regard, provincial capacity should parallel be built.

Evaluation

- **Effectiveness:** Some capacity building activities may need longer time to achieve and/or a huge amount of financial resource to support. Therefore, determination of long-term and sustainable capacity building strategy and finance should be considered.

- **Feasibility:** Need budget for capacity building and strengthening institutional framework and developing human resources.
- **Sustainable:** The financial support is one important to capacity building for human resource development can be sustainable to implementation on integrated water resources management
- **Implementation agenda:** Capacity building planning – 2006.

- **Issue 2:** Implementation of the Law on Water and Water Resource is remains quite limited.
- **Proposal:** Should be a general review and assess implementation of the Law on Water and Water Resource to develops regulation

Processes for proposal

- Regulatory gaps analysis regarding water allocation permission measurement and procedures should be conducted.
- It should be suggested that mandates of water-related organizations have to be clarified. Additional functions and institutions might be suggested if necessary, in order to manage and implement the new existing regulations which need to be addressed in parallel to the regulatory gap analysis

Evaluation

- **Effectiveness:** Even though regulatory gap analysis is set in the agenda, however, when the recommendations are made for regulatory development, it may need further consideration on priority based on available resources and realistic situation, which may take sometimes for achieving targets.

- Recommendations for institutional framework re-arrangement, including functional and mandatory consolidation would share the same situation as regulatory gap analysis, which needs to be parallel undertaken.

- **Feasibility:** The government have plan to develop on water and water resource regulation.
- **Implementation agenda:** Regulation Development - 2008

- **Issue 3:** There is no system for water resource planning at the national or river basin.
- **Proposal:** Should assessment the process to prepare the river basin planning.

Processes for proposal

- Should coordinate with the line agencies and local authorities be responsible for carefully study the process to preparing river basin plans and water resource management plans.

Evaluation

- **Effectiveness:** Integrated river basin water resource plans should be prepared for priority river basins where development plans or other important water management issues exist. Provinces, working through a suitable river basin organization or other coordination mechanism, should participate in developing these plans. They should then ensure that the plans are implemented through their provincial planning activities.

- **Feasibility:** To assessment the situation of water resource and water resource management issues in conducted detailed.
- **Sustainable:** Identify priority issue to develop river basin planing
- **Implementation agenda:** Prepare the river basin planning – 2007

Thank you !